

EQUIVARIANT K-THEORY, GROUPOIDS AND PROPER ACTIONS

JOSE CANTARERO

ABSTRACT. In this paper we define complex equivariant K -theory for actions of Lie groupoids using finite-dimensional vector bundles. For a Bredon-compatible Lie groupoid $\mathcal{G}$, this defines a periodic cohomology theory on the category of finite $\mathcal{G}$ -CW-complexes. We also establish an analogue of the completion theorem of Atiyah and Segal. Some examples are discussed.

1. INTRODUCTION

The recent theorem of Freed, Hopkins and Teleman [8, 9, 10] relates the complex equivariant twisted K -theory of a simply-connected compact Lie group acting on itself by conjugation to the Verlinde algebra. This result links information about the conjugation action with the action of the loop group on its universal space for proper actions. If we use the language of groupoids, the two associated groupoids are Morita equivalent. The invariance of orbifold K -theory under Morita equivalence [1] also seems to suggest that the language of groupoids is an appropriate framework to work with proper actions.

The complex representation ring of a compact Lie group G can be identified with the G -equivariant complex K -theory of a point. Equivariant complex K -theory is defined via equivariant complex bundles, but this procedure does not give a cohomology theory for proper actions of non-compact Lie groups in general, as shown in [19]. Phillips constructed an equivariant cohomology theory for any second countable locally compact group G on the category of proper locally compact G -spaces. This is done using infinite-dimensional complex G -Hilbert bundles. Sometimes is enough to use finite-dimensional vector bundles, for example in the case of discrete groups [16]. In this paper we will construct complex equivariant K -theory for actions of a Lie groupoid $\mathcal{G} = (G_0, G_1)$ (see next section for some background on groupoids) by using extendable complex equivariant bundles, defined below. These bundles are finite-dimensional, but are required to satisfy an additional condition.

Definition 1.1. Let X be a $\mathcal{G}$ -space, $\pi : X \longrightarrow G_0$ its anchor map and $V \longrightarrow X$ a complex $\mathcal{G}$ -vector bundle. We say V is extendable if there is a complex $\mathcal{G}$ -vector bundle $W \longrightarrow G_0$ such that V is a direct summand of π^*W .

The Grothendieck construction then gives a cohomology theory on the category of $\mathcal{G}$ -spaces. For any $\mathcal{G}$ -space X , $K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(X)$ is a module over $K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(G_0)$ and the latter can be identified with $K_{orb}^*(\mathcal{G})$ when $\mathcal{G}$ is an orbifold. But this theory does not satisfy Bott periodicity in general. In fact, it may fail to agree with classical equivariant K -theory when the action on the space is equivalent to the action of a compact Lie group. In order to solve this problem we introduce $\mathcal{G}$ -cells, which are $\mathcal{G}$ -spaces whose $\mathcal{G}$ -action is equivalent to the action of a compact Lie group on a finite complex. The condition of Bredon-compatibility, defined below, makes sure that $\mathcal{G}$ -equivariant K -theory agrees with classical equivariant K -theory on the $\mathcal{G}$ -cells. This condition also implies Bott periodicity for finite $\mathcal{G}$ -CW-pairs.

Definition 1.2. A groupoid $\mathcal{G}$ is Bredon-compatible if given any $\mathcal{G}$ -cell U , all $\mathcal{G}$ -vector bundles on U are extendable.

Theorem 1.3. *If $\mathcal{G}$ is a Bredon-compatible Lie groupoid, the groups $K_{\mathcal{G}}^n(X, A)$ define a $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded multiplicative cohomology theory on the category of finite $\mathcal{G}$ -CW-pairs.*

We introduce a universal $\mathcal{G}$ space $E\mathcal{G}$ as the limit of a sequence of free $\mathcal{G}$ -spaces $E^n\mathcal{G}$ as in the case of compact Lie groups. The quotient of $E\mathcal{G}$ by the $\mathcal{G}$ -action is $B\mathcal{G}$, the classifying space of $\mathcal{G}$. We can then form the fibred product $X \times_{\pi} E^n\mathcal{G}$ over G_0 and prove a generalization of the completion theorem of Atiyah and Segal [4] when $\mathcal{G}$ is finite.

Definition 1.4. We say a groupoid $\mathcal{G}$ is finite if G_0 is a finite $\mathcal{G}$ -CW-complex.

Theorem 1.5. *Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a Bredon-compatible, finite Lie groupoid and X a finite $\mathcal{G}$ -CW-complex. Then we have an isomorphism of pro-rings*

$$\{K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(X)/I_{\mathcal{G}}^n K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(X)\} \longrightarrow \{K^*(X \times_{\pi} E^n\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{G})\}$$

Some examples where these theorems apply are proper actions of groups. The case of compact Lie groups and finite groups were studied by Atiyah and Segal [4]. Discrete groups are dealt with in [16]. Almost compact groups and matrix groups are studied in [20]. Proper actions of pro-discrete groups are shown to be Bredon-compatible in [22].

2. BACKGROUND ON GROUPOIDS

In this section we review some basic facts about groupoids. All this material can be found in [1] and [17].

Definition 2.1. A topological groupoid $\mathcal{G}$ consists of a space G_0 of objects and a space G_1 of arrows, together with five continuous structure maps, listed below.

- The source map $s : G_1 \rightarrow G_0$ assigns to each arrow $g \in G_1$ its source $s(g)$.

- The target map $t : G_1 \rightarrow G_0$ assigns to each arrow $g \in G_1$ its target $t(g)$. For two objects $x, y \in G_0$, one writes $g : x \rightarrow y$ to indicate that $g \in G_1$ is an arrow with $s(g) = x$ and $t(g) = y$.
- If g and h are arrows with $s(h) = t(g)$, one can form their composition hg , with $s(hg) = s(g)$ and $t(hg) = t(h)$. The composition map $m : G_1 \times_{s,t} G_1 \rightarrow G_1$, defined by $m(h, g) = hg$, is thus defined on the fibered product

$$G_1 \times_{s,t} G_1 = \{(h, g) \in G_1 \times G_1 \mid s(h) = t(g)\}$$

and is required to be associative.

- The unit map $u : G_0 \rightarrow G_1$ which is a two-sided unit for the composition. This means that $su(x) = x = tu(x)$, and that $gu(x) = g = u(y)g$ for all $x, y \in G_0$ and $g : x \rightarrow y$.
- An inverse map $i : G_1 \rightarrow G_1$, written $i(g) = g^{-1}$. Here, if $g : x \rightarrow y$, then $g^{-1} : y \rightarrow x$ is a two-sided inverse for the composition, which means that $g^{-1}g = u(x)$ and $gg^{-1} = u(y)$.

Definition 2.2. A Lie groupoid is a topological groupoid $\mathcal{G}$ for which G_0 and G_1 are smooth manifolds, and such that the structure maps are smooth. Furthermore, s and t are required to be submersions so that the domain $G_1 \times_{s,t} G_1$ of m is a smooth manifold.

Example 2.3. Suppose a Lie group K acts smoothly on a manifold M . One defines a Lie groupoid $K \rtimes M$ by $(K \rtimes M)_0 = M$ and $(K \rtimes M)_1 = K \times M$, with s the projection and t the action. Composition is defined from the multiplication in the group K . This groupoid is called the action groupoid.

Definition 2.4. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a Lie groupoid. For a point $x \in G_0$, the set of all arrows from x to itself is a Lie group, denoted by $\mathcal{G}_x$ and called the isotropy group at x . The set $ts^{-1}(x)$ of targets of arrows out of x is called the orbit of x . The quotient $|\mathcal{G}|$ of G_0 consisting of all the orbits in $\mathcal{G}$ is called the orbit space. Conversely, we call $\mathcal{G}$ a groupoid presentation of $|\mathcal{G}|$.

Definition 2.5. A Lie groupoid $\mathcal{G}$ is proper if $(s, t) : G_1 \rightarrow G_0 \times G_0$ is a proper map. Note that in a proper Lie groupoid, every isotropy group is compact.

Definition 2.6. Let $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ be Lie groupoids. A strict homomorphism $\phi : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ consists of two smooth maps $\phi : H_0 \rightarrow G_0$ and $\phi : H_1 \rightarrow G_1$ that commute with all the structure maps for the two groupoids.

Definition 2.7. A strict homomorphism $\phi : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ between Lie groupoids is called an equivalence if:

- The map

$$t\pi_1 : G_1 \times_{s,\phi} H_0 \rightarrow G_0$$

defined on the fibered product of manifolds $\{(g, y) \mid g \in G_1, y \in H_0, s(g) = \phi(y)\}$ is a surjective submersion.

- The square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_1 & \xrightarrow{\phi} & G_1 \\ \downarrow (s,t) & & \downarrow (s,t) \\ H_0 \times H_0 & \xrightarrow{\phi \times \phi} & G_0 \times G_0 \end{array}$$

is a fibered product of manifolds.

The first condition implies that every object $x \in G_0$ can be connected by an arrow $g : \phi(y) \rightarrow x$ to an object in the image of ϕ , that is, ϕ is essentially surjective as a functor. The second condition implies that ϕ induces a diffeomorphism

$$H_1(y, z) \rightarrow G_1(\phi(y), \phi(z))$$

from the space of all arrows $y \rightarrow z$ in H_1 to the space of all arrows $\phi(y) \rightarrow \phi(z)$ in G_1 . In particular ϕ is full and faithful as a functor.

A strict homomorphism $\phi : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ induces a continuous map $|\phi| : |\mathcal{H}| \rightarrow |\mathcal{G}|$. Moreover, if ϕ is an equivalence, $|\phi|$ is a homeomorphism.

Definition 2.8. A local equivalence $\mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is an equivalence with the additional property that each $g_0 \in G_0$ has a neighbourhood U admitting a lift to $\tilde{H}_0$ in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{H}_0 & \longrightarrow & H_0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ G_1 & \xrightarrow{t} & G_0 \\ \downarrow s & & \\ U & \longrightarrow & G_0 \end{array}$$

in which the square is a pullback square.

Definition 2.9. Two Lie groupoids $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{G}'$ are Morita equivalent if there exists a third groupoid $\mathcal{H}$ and two equivalences

$$\mathcal{G} \leftarrow \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}'$$

Definition 2.10. Two Lie groupoids $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{G}'$ are weakly equivalent if there exists a third groupoid $\mathcal{H}$ and two local equivalences

$$\mathcal{G} \leftarrow \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}'$$

Given a Lie groupoid $\mathcal{G}$, we can associate an important topological construction to it, namely its classifying space $B\mathcal{G}$. Moreover, this construction is well-behaved under Morita (weak) equivalence. For $n \geq 1$, let G_n be the iterated fibered product

$$G_n = \{(g_1, \dots, g_n) \mid g_i \in G_1, s(g_i) = t(g_{i+1}), i = 1, \dots, n-1\}$$

Together with the objects G_0 , these G_n have the structure of a simplicial manifold called the nerve of $\mathcal{G}$. Here we are really just thinking of $\mathcal{G}$ as a category. Following the usual convention, we define face operators $d_i : G_n \rightarrow G_{n-1}$ for $i = 0, \dots, n$, given by

$$d_i(g_1, \dots, g_n) = \begin{cases} (g_2, \dots, g_n) & \text{if } i = 0 \\ (g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}) & \text{if } i = n \\ (g_1, \dots, g_i g_{i+1}, \dots, g_n) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for $0 < i < n$ when $n > 1$. Similarly, we define $d_0(g) = s(g)$ and $d_1(g) = t(g)$ when $n = 1$.

For such a simplicial space, we can glue the disjoint union of the $G_n \times \Delta^n$ as follows, where Δ^n is the topological n -simplex. Let $\delta_i : \Delta^{n-1} \rightarrow \Delta^n$ be the linear embedding of Δ^{n-1} into Δ^n as the i -th face. We define the classifying space of $\mathcal{G}$ (the geometric realization of its nerve) as the identification space

$$B\mathcal{G} = \coprod_n (G_n \times \Delta^n) / (d_i(g), x) \sim (g, \delta_i(x))$$

This is usually called the fat realization of the nerve, meaning that we have chosen to leave out identifications involving degeneracies. The two definitions will produce homotopy equivalent spaces provided that the topological category has sufficiently nice properties. Another nice property of the fat realization is that if every G_n has the homotopy type of CW-complexes, then the realization will also have the homotopy type of a CW-complex.

A strict homomorphism of groupoids $\phi : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ induces a continuous map $B\phi : B\mathcal{H} \rightarrow B\mathcal{G}$. In particular, an important basic property is that an equivalence of groupoids induces a homotopy equivalence between classifying spaces. This follows from the fact that an equivalence induces an equivalence of categories.

3. GROUPOID ACTIONS AND K-THEORY

Definition 3.1. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a groupoid. A (right) $\mathcal{G}$ -space is a manifold E equipped with an action by $\mathcal{G}$. Such an action is given by two maps $\pi : E \rightarrow G_0$ (called the anchor map) and $\mu : E \times_{G_0} G_1 \rightarrow E$. The latter map is defined on pairs (e, g) with $\pi(e) = t(g)$ and written $\mu(e, g) = e \cdot g$. They must satisfy $\pi(e \cdot g) = s(g)$, $e \cdot 1_{\pi(e)} = e$ and $(e \cdot g) \cdot h = e \cdot (gh)$.

Example 3.2. Let M be a $\mathcal{G}$ -space. We can construct the action groupoid $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{G} \ltimes M$ which has space of objects M and morphisms $M \times_{G_0} G_1$. This groupoid generalizes the earlier notion of action groupoid for a group action and the structure maps are formally the same as in that case.

Definition 3.3. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a groupoid and let X, Y be $\mathcal{G}$ -spaces. A map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is $\mathcal{G}$ -equivariant if it commutes with the anchor maps and satisfies $f(x \cdot g) = f(x) \cdot g$ whenever one the sides is defined.

- G_0 is a final object in the category of $\mathcal{G}$ -spaces with the action given by $e \cdot g = s(g)$ and projection given by the identity.
- If X and Y are $\mathcal{G}$ -spaces, the fibered product over G_0 , $X \times_{\pi} Y = \{(x, y) \mid \pi(x) = \pi(y)\}$ becomes a $\mathcal{G}$ -space with coordinate-wise action. In particular $X \times_{\pi} G_0 = X$.
- Similarly, if X is a $\mathcal{G}$ -space and Y is any other space, $X \times Y$ is a $\mathcal{G}$ -space with trivial action on the second factor. In fact, $X \times Y = X \times_{\pi} (Y \times G_0)$.

All vector bundles in this paper are complex vector bundles.

Definition 3.4. A vector bundle over an orbifold groupoid $\mathcal{G}$ is a $\mathcal{G}$ -space E for which $\pi : E \rightarrow G_0$ is a vector bundle, and the action of $\mathcal{G}$ on E is fibrewise linear. Namely, any arrow $g : x \rightarrow y$ induces a linear isomorphism $g^{-1} : E_y \rightarrow E_x$. In particular, E_x is a linear representation of the stabilizer $\mathcal{G}_x$.

Definition 3.5. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a groupoid. A $\mathcal{G}$ -vector bundle on a $\mathcal{G}$ -space X is a vector bundle $p : E \rightarrow X$ such that E is a $\mathcal{G}$ -space with fibrewise linear action and p is a $\mathcal{G}$ -equivariant map.

Definition 3.6. Let X be a $\mathcal{G}$ -space and $V \rightarrow X$ a $\mathcal{G}$ -vector bundle. We say V is extendable if there is a $\mathcal{G}$ -vector bundle $W \rightarrow G_0$ such that V is a direct summand of π^*W .

- Direct sum of $\mathcal{G}$ -extendable vector bundles induces an operation on the set of isomorphism classes of $\mathcal{G}$ -extendable vector bundles on X , making this set a monoid. We can also tensor $\mathcal{G}$ -extendable vector bundles.
- The pullback of a $\mathcal{G}$ -extendable vector bundle by a $\mathcal{G}$ -equivariant map is a $\mathcal{G}$ -extendable vector bundle.
- All $\mathcal{G}$ -vector bundles on G_0 are extendable. Note that $\mathcal{G}$ -extendable vector bundles on G_0 are equivalent to orbifold vector bundles on $\mathcal{G}$.

Definition 3.7. Let X be a $\mathcal{G}$ -space.

$$Vect_{\mathcal{G}}(X) = \{\text{isomorphism classes of extendable } \mathcal{G}\text{-vector bundles on } X\}$$

$$K_{\mathcal{G}}(X) = K(Vect_{\mathcal{G}}(X))$$

where $K(A)$ is the Grothendieck group of a monoid A . We call $K_{\mathcal{G}}(X)$ the extendable $\mathcal{G}$ -equivariant K -theory of X .

We can now define the extendable K -groups as in [16]:

$$K_{\mathcal{G}}^{-n}(X) = Ker[K_{\mathcal{G}}(X \times S^n) \rightarrow K_{\mathcal{G}}(X)]$$

$$K_{\mathcal{G}}^{-n}(X, A) = Ker[K_{\mathcal{G}}^{-n}(X \cup_A X) \rightarrow K_{\mathcal{G}}^{-n}(X)]$$

Lemma 3.8. Let (X, A) be a $\mathcal{G}$ -pair. Suppose that $X = \coprod_{i \in I} X_i$, the disjoint union of open $\mathcal{G}$ -invariant subspaces X_i and set $A_i = A \cap X_i$. Then there is a natural isomorphism

$$K_{\mathcal{G}}^{-n}(X, A) \longrightarrow \prod_{i \in I} K_{\mathcal{G}}^{-n}(X_i, A_i)$$

Definition 3.9. A smooth left Haar system for a Lie groupoid $\mathcal{G}$ is a family $\{\lambda^a \mid a \in G_0\}$, where each λ^a is a positive, regular Borel measure on the manifold $t^{-1}(a)$ such that:

- If (V, ψ) is an open chart of G_1 satisfying $V \cong t(V) \times W$, and if λ_W is the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^k$ restricted to W , then for each $a \in t(V)$, the measure $\lambda^a \circ \psi$ is equivalent to λ_W , and the map $(a, w) \longrightarrow d(\lambda^a \circ \psi_a)/d\lambda_W(w)$ belongs to $C^\infty(t(V) \times W)$ and is strictly positive.
- For any $x \in G_1$ and $f \in C_c^\infty(G_1)$, we have

$$\int_{t^{-1}(s(x))} f(xz) d\lambda^{s(x)}(z) = \int_{t^{-1}(t(x))} f(y) d\lambda^{t(x)}(y)$$

Proposition 3.10. Every Lie groupoid admits a smooth left Haar system.

The proof can be found in [18]

From now on $\mathcal{G}$ will be a Lie groupoid.

Corollary 3.11. *If $f_0, f_1 : (X, A) \longrightarrow (Y, B)$ are $\mathcal{G}$ -homotopic $\mathcal{G}$ -maps between $\mathcal{G}$ -pairs, then*

$$f_0^* = f_1^* : K_{\mathcal{G}}^{-n}(Y, B) \longrightarrow K_{\mathcal{G}}^{-n}(X, A)$$

for all $n \geq 0$.

Lemma 3.12. *Let $\phi : (X_1, X_0) \longrightarrow (X, X_2)$ be a map of $\mathcal{G}$ -spaces, set $\phi_0 = \phi|_{X_0}$, and assume that $X \cong X_2 \cup_{\phi_0} X_1$. Let $p_1 : E_1 \longrightarrow X_1$ and $p_2 : E_2 \longrightarrow X_2$ be $\mathcal{G}$ -extendable vector bundles, let $\bar{\phi}_0 : E_1|_{X_0} \longrightarrow E_2$ be a strong map covering ϕ_0 , and set $E = E_2 \cup_{\bar{\phi}_0} E_1$. Then $p = p_1 \cup p_2 : E \longrightarrow X$ is a $\mathcal{G}$ -extendable vector bundle over X .*

Proof. See [16] □

Lemma 3.13. *Let $\phi : X \longrightarrow Y$ be a $\mathcal{G}$ -equivariant map and let $E' \longrightarrow X$ be a $\mathcal{G}$ -extendable vector bundle. Then, there is a $\mathcal{G}$ -extendable vector bundle $E \longrightarrow Y$ such that E' is a summand of ϕ^*E .*

Proof. Consider $\pi : Y \longrightarrow G_0$. Now, $\pi\phi : X \longrightarrow G_0$. Since E' is extendable, there is a $\mathcal{G}$ -vector bundle V on G_0 such that E' is a direct summand of $(\pi\phi)^*V$. Let $E = \pi^*V$. E is a $\mathcal{G}$ -vector bundle on Y and it is the pullback of an extendable $\mathcal{G}$ -vector bundle, hence it is extendable. And we have that E' is a direct summand of $(\pi\phi)^*V = \phi^*E$. □

Lemma 3.14. *Let*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{i_1} & X_1 \\ \downarrow i_2 & & \downarrow j_1 \\ X_2 & \xrightarrow{j_2} & X \end{array}$$

be a pushout square of $\mathcal{G}$ -spaces. Then there is a natural exact sequence, infinite to the left

$$\begin{aligned} \dots &\xrightarrow{d^{-n-1}} K_{\mathcal{G}}^{-n}(X) \xrightarrow{j_1^* \oplus j_2^*} K_{\mathcal{G}}^{-n}(X_1) \oplus K_{\mathcal{G}}^{-n}(X_2) \xrightarrow{i_1^* - i_2^*} K_{\mathcal{G}}^{-n}(A) \xrightarrow{d^{-n}} \dots \\ \dots &\longrightarrow K_{\mathcal{G}}^{-1}(A) \xrightarrow{d^{-1}} K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(X) \xrightarrow{j_1^* \oplus j_2^*} K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(X_1) \oplus K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(X_2) \xrightarrow{i_1^* - i_2^*} K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(A) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. See [16] □

We now consider products on $K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(X)$ and on $K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(X, A)$. We follow [16]. Tensor products of $\mathcal{G}$ -extendable vector bundles makes $K_{\mathcal{G}}(X)$ into a commutative ring, and all induced maps $f^* : K_{\mathcal{G}}(Y) \longrightarrow K_{\mathcal{G}}(X)$ are ring homomorphisms. For each $n, m \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} K_{\mathcal{G}}^{-n-m}(X) &\cong \text{Ker}[K_{\mathcal{G}}^{-m}(X \times S^n) \longrightarrow K_{\mathcal{G}}^{-m}(X)] = \\ &= \text{Ker}[K_{\mathcal{G}}(X \times S^n \times S^m) \longrightarrow K_{\mathcal{G}}(X \times S^n) \oplus K_{\mathcal{G}}(X \times S^m)] \end{aligned}$$

where the first isomorphism follows from the usual Mayer-Vietoris sequences. Hence

$$K_{\mathcal{G}}(X \times S^n) \otimes K_{\mathcal{G}}(X \times S^m) \xrightarrow{\text{mult} \circ (p_1^* \otimes p_2^*)} K_{\mathcal{G}}(X \times S^n \times S^m)$$

restricts to a homomorphism

$$K_{\mathcal{G}}^{-n}(X) \otimes K_{\mathcal{G}}^{-m}(X) \longrightarrow K_{\mathcal{G}}^{-n-m}(X)$$

By applying the above definition with $n = 0$ or $m = 0$, the multiplicative identity for $K_{\mathcal{G}}(X)$ is seen to be an identity for $K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(X)$. Associativity of the graded product is clear and graded commutativity follows upon showing that composition with a degree -1 map $S^n \rightarrow S^m$ induces multiplication by -1 on $K^{-n}(X)$. This product makes $K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(X)$ into a graded ring. Clearly, $f^* : K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(Y) \longrightarrow K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(X)$ is a ring homomorphism for any $\mathcal{G}$ -map $f : X \longrightarrow Y$. This makes $K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(X)$ into a $K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(G_0)$ -algebra, since G_0 is a final object in the category of $\mathcal{G}$ -spaces.

It remains to prove Bott periodicity. Recall that $\tilde{K}(S^2) = \text{Ker}[K(S^2) \longrightarrow K(pt)] \cong \mathbb{Z}$, and is generated by the Bott element $B \in \tilde{K}(S^2)$, the element $[S^2 \times \mathbb{C}] - [H] \in \tilde{K}(S^2)$, where H is the canonical complex line bundle over $S^2 = \mathbb{C}P^1$. For any $\mathcal{G}$ -space X , there is an obvious pairing

$$K_{\mathcal{G}}^{-n}(X) \otimes \tilde{K}(S^2) \xrightarrow{\otimes} \text{Ker}[K_{\mathcal{G}}^{-n}(X \times S^2) \longrightarrow K_{\mathcal{G}}^{-n}(X)] \cong K_{\mathcal{G}}^{-n-2}(X)$$

induced by (external) tensor product of bundles. Evaluation at the Bott element now defines a homomorphism

$$b = b(X) : K_{\mathcal{G}}^{-n}(X) \longrightarrow K_{\mathcal{G}}^{-n-2}(X)$$

which by construction is natural in X . And this extends to a homomorphism

$$b = b(X, A) : K_{\mathcal{G}}^{-n}(X, A) \longrightarrow K_{\mathcal{G}}^{-n-2}(X, A)$$

defined for any $\mathcal{G}$ -pair (X, A) and all $n \geq 0$.

Definition 3.15. An n -dimensional $\mathcal{G}$ -cell is a space of the form $D^n \times U$ where U is a $\mathcal{G}$ -space such that $\mathcal{G} \rtimes U$ is weakly equivalent to an action groupoid corresponding to a proper action of a compact Lie group G on a finite G -CW-complex.

Definition 3.16. A $\mathcal{G}$ -CW-complex X is a $\mathcal{G}$ -space together with an $\mathcal{G}$ -invariant filtration

$$\emptyset = X_{-1} \subseteq X_0 \subseteq X_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq X_n \subseteq \dots \subseteq \bigcup_{n \geq 0} X_n = X$$

such that X carries the colimit topology with respect to this filtration and X_n is obtained from X_{n-1} for each $n \geq 0$ by attaching equivariant n -dimensional cells, i.e., there exists a $\mathcal{G}$ -pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \coprod_{i \in I_n} U_i \times S^{n-1} & \xrightarrow{\coprod_{i \in I_n} q_i^n} & X_{n-1} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \coprod_{i \in I_n} U_i \times D^n & \xrightarrow{\coprod_{i \in I_n} Q_i^n} & X_n \end{array}$$

Definition 3.17. A groupoid $\mathcal{G}$ is Bredon-compatible if given any $\mathcal{G}$ -cell U , all $\mathcal{G}$ -vector bundles on U are extendable.

Proposition 3.18. Suppose that $F : \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is a local equivalence. Then the pullback functor

$$F^* : \{\text{Fiber bundles on } \mathcal{H}\} \longrightarrow \{\text{Fiber bundles on } \mathcal{G}\}$$

is an equivalence of categories

Proof. See [8] □

Corollary 3.19. If $\mathcal{G}$ is Bredon-compatible and U is a $\mathcal{G}$ -cell, then $K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(U) \cong K_G^*(M)$ for some compact Lie group G and a finite G -CW-complex M .

Theorem 3.20. If $\mathcal{G}$ is Bredon-compatible, the Bott homomorphism

$$b = b(X, A) : K_{\mathcal{G}}^{-n}(X, A) \longrightarrow K_{\mathcal{G}}^{-n-2}(X, A)$$

is an isomorphism for any finite $\mathcal{G}$ -CW-pair (X, A) and all $n \geq 0$.

Proof. Assume first that $X = Y \cup_{\phi} (U \times D^m)$ where $U \times D^m$ is a $\mathcal{G}$ -cell. Assume inductively that $b(Y)$ is an isomorphism. Since $K_{\mathcal{G}}^{-n}(U \times S^{m-1}) \cong K_G^{-n}(M \times S^{m-1})$ and $K_{\mathcal{G}}^{-n}(U \times D^m) \cong K_G^{-n}(M \times D^m)$, the Bott homomorphisms $b(U \times S^{m-1})$ and $b(U \times D^m)$ are isomorphisms by the equivariant Bott periodicity theorem for actions of compact Lie groups. The Bott map is natural and compatible with the boundary operators in the Mayer-Vietoris sequence for Y , X , $U \times S^{m-1}$ and $U \times D^m$ and so $b(X)$ is an isomorphism by the 5-lemma. The proof that $b(X, A)$ is an isomorphism follows immediately from the definitions of the relative groups. □

Now define for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$K_{\mathcal{G}}^n(X, A) = \begin{cases} K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(X, A) & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ K_{\mathcal{G}}^{-1}(X, A) & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

For any finite $\mathcal{G}$ -CW-pair (X, A) , define the boundary operator $\delta^n : K_{\mathcal{G}}^n(A) \longrightarrow K_{\mathcal{G}}^{n+1}(X, A)$ to be $\delta : K_{\mathcal{G}}^{-1}(A) \longrightarrow K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(X, A)$ if n is odd, and to be the composite

$$K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(A) \xrightarrow{b} K_{\mathcal{G}}^{-2}(A) \xrightarrow{\delta^{-2}} K_{\mathcal{G}}^{-1}(X, A)$$

if n is even.

Theorem 3.21. *If $\mathcal{G}$ is a Bredon-compatible Lie groupoid, the groups $K_{\mathcal{G}}^n(X, A)$ define a $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded multiplicative cohomology theory on the category of finite $\mathcal{G}$ -CW-pairs.*

Note that for a general Lie groupoid $\mathcal{G}$, $K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(-)$ is a multiplicative cohomology theory on the category of $\mathcal{G}$ -spaces, but it is not clear whether we have Bott periodicity.

4. THE COMPLETION MAP

Definition 4.1. Let P be a $\mathcal{G}$ -space and $T = P/\mathcal{G}$. We say that $P \longrightarrow T$ is a principal $\mathcal{G}$ -bundle if it admits local sections and if the map $G_1 \times_{G_0} P \longrightarrow P \times_T P$ induced by the action and projection maps is a homeomorphism.

Definition 4.2. A principal $\mathcal{G}$ -bundle $E \longrightarrow B$ is universal if every principal $\mathcal{G}$ -bundle $P \longrightarrow X$ over a paracompact base admits a $\mathcal{G}$ -bundle map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P & \longrightarrow & E \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X & \longrightarrow & B \end{array}$$

unique up to homotopy.

For any group G we can construct the universal G -space EG in the sense of Milnor or Milgram. We have analogous constructions for a groupoid.

The first construction is the analogue to the universal space of Milgram and it is also described in [11]. Given the groupoid $\mathcal{G}$, construct the translation groupoid $\bar{\mathcal{G}} = \mathcal{G} \rtimes G_1$, which has G_1 as its space of objects and only one arrow between two elements if they have the same

source or none otherwise, i.e., the space of arrows is $G_1 \times_s G_1$. The nerve of this category is given by:

$$N\bar{\mathcal{G}}_k = G_1 \times_s G_1 \times_s \dots \times_s^{k+1} G_1$$

There is a natural action of $\mathcal{G}$ on $N\bar{\mathcal{G}}_k$ with π given by the source map and $(f_1, \dots, f_{k+1}) \cdot h = (f_1 h, \dots, f_{k+1} h)$. With this action we have $N\bar{\mathcal{G}}_k / \mathcal{G} \cong G_k$, so $N\bar{\mathcal{G}} / \mathcal{G} \cong N\mathcal{G}$ and therefore $B\bar{\mathcal{G}} / \mathcal{G} \cong B\mathcal{G}$. It is clear that $B\bar{\mathcal{G}} \simeq G_0$ and $\mathcal{G}$ acts freely on $B\bar{\mathcal{G}}$.

The second construction imitates Milnor's universal G -space. We construct the $\mathcal{G}$ -spaces:

$$E^n \mathcal{G} = G_1 *_s \dots *_s G_1 = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i g_i \mid s(g_1) = \dots = s(g_n), \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 1 \right\}$$

with $\pi(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i g_i) = s(g_1)$, $(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i g_i) \cdot g = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i g_i g$ and the subspace topology from $G_1 *_s \dots *_s G_1$.

Now define $E\mathcal{G} = \lim E^n \mathcal{G}$. Note $\mathcal{G}$ acts freely on $E^n \mathcal{G}$ for all n and thus on $E\mathcal{G}$. It can be checked that $E\mathcal{G} / \mathcal{G} = B\mathcal{G}$.

Both $E\mathcal{G}$ and $B\bar{\mathcal{G}}$ define universal $\mathcal{G}$ -principal bundles. Therefore $E\mathcal{G} / \mathcal{G}$ and $B\bar{\mathcal{G}} / \mathcal{G} = B\mathcal{G}$ are homotopy equivalent. From this point on we will use $E\mathcal{G}$ as our universal $\mathcal{G}$ -space and identify $E\mathcal{G} / \mathcal{G}$ with $B\mathcal{G}$.

Definition 4.3. Given a $\mathcal{G}$ -space X , we define the Borel construction $X_{\mathcal{G}} = (X \times_{\pi} E\mathcal{G}) / \mathcal{G}$.

Example 4.4. Let $\mathcal{G} = H \rtimes M$. Then, a $\mathcal{G}$ -space is a H -space X with a H -equivariant map to M . In this case, $\mathcal{G}$ -equivariant vector bundles on X correspond to H -vector bundles on X and so $K_{\mathcal{G}}(X) = K_H(X)$. We have $E\mathcal{G} = M \times EH$ and $B\mathcal{G} = M_H$.

Example 4.5. Let M be a $\mathcal{G}$ -space. Consider the groupoid $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{G} \rtimes M$. An $\mathcal{H}$ -space is a $\mathcal{G}$ -space X with a $\mathcal{G}$ -equivariant map to M . As in the previous example, $\mathcal{H}$ -equivariant vector bundles on X are just $\mathcal{G}$ -equivariant vector bundles on X and so $K_{\mathcal{H}}(X) = K_{\mathcal{G}}(X)$. It can be shown that $E\mathcal{H} = M \times_{\pi} E\mathcal{G}$ and $B\mathcal{H} = M_{\mathcal{G}}$.

Lemma 4.6. All $\mathcal{G}$ -vector bundles on a free $\mathcal{G}$ -space X are extendable.

Proof. It suffices to prove that vector bundles on $X/\mathcal{G}$ pull back to extendable $\mathcal{G}$ -vector bundles. The map $\pi_1 : X \rightarrow G_0$ induces a map $\pi_2 : X/\mathcal{G} \rightarrow |\mathcal{G}|$. These maps fit into a

commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{\pi_1} & G_0 \\
 p_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow p_2 \\
 X/\mathcal{G} & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & |\mathcal{G}|
 \end{array}$$

Given a vector bundle V on $X/\mathcal{G}$, there is a vector bundle W on $|\mathcal{G}|$ such that $\pi_2^*W = V \oplus A$ for some vector bundle A on $X/\mathcal{G}$. Consider $W' = p_2^*W$. We have

$$\pi_1^*W' = \pi_1^*p_2^*W = p_1^*\pi_2^*W = p_1^*(V \oplus A) = p_1^*V \oplus p_1^*A \quad \square$$

Lemma 4.7. *If $\mathcal{G}$ acts freely on X and G_0 is a finite $\mathcal{G}$ -CW-complex, then $K_{\mathcal{G}}(X) \cong K(X/\mathcal{G})$.*

Proof. Given $x \in X$, there exists a sufficiently small neighbourhood $U_{\pi(x)}$ of $\pi(x)$ such that $\mathcal{G}_{\pi(x)}$ acts on $U_{\pi(x)}$. Then $\mathcal{G}_{\pi(x)}$ acts on $U_x = \pi^{-1}(U_{\pi(x)})$. $\mathcal{G}_{\pi(x)}$ is a compact Lie group and so there is a local slice for that action at x . $\square$

Lemma 4.8. *Let $B^n\mathcal{G} = E^n\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{G}$. Any product of n elements in $K^*(B^n\mathcal{G}, G_0)$ is zero.*

Proof. Consider the subsets $U_i = \{\lambda_1 g_1 + \dots + \lambda_n g_n \mid \lambda_i \neq 0\}$ in $E^n\mathcal{G}$ and let $\tilde{U}_i = U_i/\mathcal{G}$. These sets are open in $B^n\mathcal{G}$. They are also homotopy equivalent to G_0 :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 U_j & \xrightarrow{f} & G_1 \\
 & & G_1 \xrightarrow{h} U_j \\
 [\Sigma \lambda_i g_i] & \longrightarrow & g_j \\
 & & g \longrightarrow g_j = g \\
 \\
 U_j \times I & \xrightarrow{H} & U_j \\
 \\
 ([\Sigma \lambda_i g_i], t) & \longrightarrow & [\Sigma t \lambda_i g_i + (1-t)g_j]
 \end{array}$$

These maps are $\mathcal{G}$ -equivariant, so they define maps $\tilde{f} : \tilde{U}_j \longrightarrow G_0$, $\tilde{h} : G_0 \longrightarrow \tilde{U}_j$ and $\tilde{H} : \tilde{U}_j \times I \longrightarrow \tilde{U}_j$. We have $\tilde{f}\tilde{h} = 1_{G_0}$ and $\tilde{H}$ is a homotopy between $1_{\tilde{U}_j}$ and $\tilde{h}\tilde{f}$. We can

see G_0 inside all the U_i 's. If $n = 2$, consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 K(B^2\mathcal{G}, U_1) \otimes K(B^2\mathcal{G}, U_2) & \longrightarrow & K(B^2\mathcal{G}, U_1 \cup U_2) = 0 \\
 \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \\
 K(B^2\mathcal{G}, U_1) \otimes K(B^2\mathcal{G}, G_0) & \longrightarrow & K(B^2\mathcal{G}, U_1) \\
 \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \\
 K(B^2\mathcal{G}, G_0) \otimes K(B^2\mathcal{G}, G_0) & \longrightarrow & K(B^2\mathcal{G}, G_0)
 \end{array}$$

Similarly, consider the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 K(B^n\mathcal{G}, U_1) \otimes K(B^n\mathcal{G}, U_2) \otimes \dots \otimes K(B^n\mathcal{G}, U_n) & \longrightarrow & K(B^n\mathcal{G}, U_1 \cup \dots \cup U_n) = 0 \\
 \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \\
 \vdots & & \vdots \\
 \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \\
 K(B^n\mathcal{G}, U_1) \otimes K(B^n\mathcal{G}, G_0) \otimes \dots \otimes K(B^n\mathcal{G}, G_0) & \longrightarrow & K(B^n\mathcal{G}, U_1) \\
 \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \\
 K(B^n\mathcal{G}, G_0) \otimes K(B^n\mathcal{G}, G_0) \otimes \dots \otimes K(B^n\mathcal{G}, G_0) & \longrightarrow & K(B^n\mathcal{G}, G_0)
 \end{array}$$

□

We have an augmentation map $K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(G_0) \rightarrow K^*(G_0)$ given by forgetting the $\mathcal{G}$ -action. Let $I_{\mathcal{G}}$ be the kernel of this map.

The composite homomorphism

$$K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(G_0) \rightarrow K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(E^n\mathcal{G}) \cong K^*(B^n\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow K^*(G_0)$$

is the augmentation map, whose kernel is $I_{\mathcal{G}}$. So the map $K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(G_0) \rightarrow K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(E^n \mathcal{G})$ factors through $K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(G_0)/I_{\mathcal{G}}^n$.

For any $\mathcal{G}$ -space X , $K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(X)$ is a module over $K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(G_0)$ and by naturality the homomorphism $K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(X) \rightarrow K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(X \times_{\pi} E^n \mathcal{G})$ factorizes through

$$K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(X)/I_{\mathcal{G}}^n K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(X) \rightarrow K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(X \times_{\pi} E^n \mathcal{G})$$

Conjecture 4.9. *Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a Lie groupoid and X a $\mathcal{G}$ -space. Then we have an isomorphism of pro-rings*

$$\{K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(X)/I_{\mathcal{G}}^n K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(X)\} \longrightarrow \{K^*(X \times_{\pi} E^n \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{G})\}$$

If a groupoid $\mathcal{G}$ satisfies this conjecture for $X = G_0$ we will say $\mathcal{G}$ satisfies the completion theorem.

5. THE COMPLETION THEOREM

Lemma 5.1. *Let $\mathcal{G} = G \rtimes X$, where G is a compact Lie group and X is a compact G -space such that $K_G^*(X)$ is finite over $R(G)$. Then $\mathcal{G}$ satisfies the completion theorem.*

Proof. Let I_X be the kernel of $K_G^*(X) \rightarrow K^*(X)$. We would like to prove that there is an isomorphism $\{K_G^*(X)/I_X^n\} \cong \{K_G^*(X \times E^n G)\}$. By the Atiyah-Segal completion theorem, we have an isomorphism $\{K_G^*(X)/I_G^n K_G^*(X)\} \cong \{K_G^*(X \times E^n G)\}$. So it suffices to prove that the I_G -adic topology and the I_X -adic topology are the same in $K_G^*(X)$. Since $K_G^*(X)$ is a module over $R(G)$, we have $I_G K_G^*(X) \subset I_X$.

Let K_n be the kernel of $\alpha_n : K_G^*(X) \rightarrow K_G^*(X \times E^n G)$. As a corollary to the Atiyah-Segal completion theorem, we know that the sequence of ideals $\{K_n\}$ defines the I_G -adic topology on $K_G^*(X)$. In particular, there is $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $K_m \subset I_G K_G^*(X)$. Note that $K_1 = I_X$. Consider the composition $K_G^*(X) \rightarrow K_G^*(X \times E^m G) \rightarrow K_G^*(X \times E^1 G) \cong K^*(X)$. Since $K_G^*(X \times E^m G, X \times E^1 G)^m = 0$, the first map factors through I_X^m , i.e. $I_X^m \subset K_m$. Hence $I_X^m \subset I_G K_G^*(X)$. $\square$

Lemma 5.2. *If $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ are locally equivalent, then $\mathcal{G}$ satisfies the completion theorem if and only if $\mathcal{H}$ does.*

Proof. If $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ are locally equivalent by a local equivalence $\mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}$, then we have an isomorphism $f : K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(G_0) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_{\mathcal{H}}^*(H_0)$. The following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(G_0) & \xrightarrow{f} & K_{\mathcal{H}}^*(H_0) \\ \alpha \downarrow & & \downarrow \beta \\ K^*(G_0) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & K^*(H_0) \end{array}$$

Therefore have $f(I_{\mathcal{G}}) \subset I_{\mathcal{H}}$. Let g be the inverse of f , $x \in I_{\mathcal{H}}$ and $y = g(x)$. Since $\beta(x) = 0$, we have $\phi\alpha(y) = 0$. But $\alpha(y) = (n_y, a_y) \in \mathbb{Z} \oplus \tilde{K}^*(G_0) = K^*(G_0)$ and so $\phi\alpha(y) = (n_y, \tilde{\phi}(a_y))$. This implies in particular $n_y = 0$, that is, $\alpha g(I_{\mathcal{H}}) \subset \tilde{K}^*(G_0)$.

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\tilde{K}^*(G_0)^m = 0$. Then, $\alpha g(I_{\mathcal{H}}^m) \subset \tilde{K}^*(G_0)^m = 0$ and so $g(I_{\mathcal{H}}^m) \subset I_{\mathcal{G}}$. Thus $I_{\mathcal{H}}^m = fg(I_{\mathcal{H}}^m) \subset f(I_{\mathcal{G}})$ and the topologies induced by $I_{\mathcal{G}}$ and $I_{\mathcal{H}}$ on $K_{\mathcal{H}}^*(H_0)$ are the same. Therefore we have an isomorphism of pro-rings $\{K^*(G_0)/I_{\mathcal{G}}^n\} \cong \{K^*(H_0)/I_{\mathcal{H}}^n\}$.

The local equivalence also induces a homotopy equivalence between $B\mathcal{G}$ and $B\mathcal{H}$. If we consider the associated filtrations to each of these spaces, $\{B^n\mathcal{G}\}$ and $\{B^n\mathcal{H}\}$, the local equivalence must take $B^n\mathcal{G}$ to some $B^{n+k}\mathcal{H}$ and $B^n\mathcal{H}$ to some $B^{n+k'}\mathcal{G}$. Hence we have an isomorphism of pro-rings $\{K^*(B^n\mathcal{G})\} \cong \{K^*(B^n\mathcal{H})\}$. The lemma follows then by looking at the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{K^*(G_0)/I_{\mathcal{G}}^n\} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \{K^*(H_0)/I_{\mathcal{H}}^n\} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \{K^*(B^n\mathcal{G})\} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \{K^*(B^n\mathcal{H})\} \quad \square \end{array}$$

Theorem 5.3. *If $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ are weakly equivalent, then $\mathcal{G}$ satisfies the completion theorem if and only if $\mathcal{H}$ does.*

Corollary 5.4. *If $\mathcal{G}$ is Bredon-compatible and U is a $\mathcal{G}$ -cell, $K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(U)$ is a finitely generated abelian group and the groupoid $\mathcal{G} \rtimes U$ satisfies the completion theorem.*

Let X be a finite $\mathcal{G}$ -CW-complex and consider the spectral sequences for the maps $f : X \rightarrow X/\mathcal{G}$ and $h : X_{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow X/\mathcal{G}$ in $\mathcal{G}$ -equivariant K -theory:

$$E_1^{pq} = \prod_{i \in I_p} K_{\mathcal{G}}^q(f^{-1}U_i) \implies K_{\mathcal{G}}^{p+q}(X)$$

$$\bar{E}_1^{pq} = \prod_{i \in I_p} K_{\mathcal{G}}^q(h^{-1}U_i) \implies K_{\mathcal{G}}^{p+q}(X_{\mathcal{G}})$$

Now, since $h^{-1}(U) = f^{-1}(U) \times_{\pi} E\mathcal{G}$ there is a map of spectral sequences $E \rightarrow \bar{E}$ induced by the projections $f^{-1}(U) \times_{\pi} E\mathcal{G} \rightarrow f^{-1}(U)$.

Lemma 5.5. *Fix any commutative Noetherian ring A , and any ideal $I \subset A$. Then for any exact sequence $M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M''$ of finitely generated A -modules, the sequence:*

$$\{M'/I^n M'\} \longrightarrow \{M/I^n M\} \longrightarrow \{M''/I^n M''\}$$

of pro-groups is exact.

Proof. See [16] □

The spectral sequence E is a spectral sequence of $K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(G_0)$ -modules. Assume $K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(G_0)$ is a Noetherian ring. All elements in these spectral sequences are finitely generated, so we can form the following spectral sequence of pro-rings:

$$F_1^{pq} = \left\{ \prod_{i \in I_p} K_{\mathcal{G}}^q(f^{-1}U_i) / I_{\mathcal{G}}^n K_{\mathcal{G}}^q(f^{-1}U_i) \right\} \implies \{K_{\mathcal{G}}^{p+q}(X) / I_{\mathcal{G}}^n K_{\mathcal{G}}^{p+q}(X)\}$$

Similarly consider the maps $h_n : X \times_{\pi} E^n \mathcal{G} / \mathcal{G} \rightarrow X/\mathcal{G}$. They give us another spectral sequence of pro-rings:

$$\bar{F}_1^{pq} = \left\{ \prod_{i \in I_p} K_{\mathcal{G}}^q(h_n^{-1}U_i) \right\} \implies \{K_{\mathcal{G}}^{p+q}(X \times_{\pi} E^n \mathcal{G})\}$$

We have a map of spectral sequences $\phi : F \rightarrow \bar{F}$.

If $\mathcal{G}$ is Bredon-compatible, the groupoids $\mathcal{G} \rtimes U_i$ satisfy the completion theorem for all i . Since we are taking quotient by the ideal $I_{\mathcal{G}}$, we need to check that both topologies coincide. We consider the long exact sequence in equivariant and non-equivariant K -theory for the pair (C_U, U) where U is any U_i and C_U is the mapping cylinder of the map $\pi : U \rightarrow G_0$.

Note that C_U is $\mathcal{G}$ -homotopy equivalent to G_0 and $U \subset C_U$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} K_{\mathcal{G}}(C_U, U) & \longrightarrow & K_{\mathcal{G}}(G_0) & \longrightarrow & K_{\mathcal{G}}(U) & \longrightarrow & K_{\mathcal{G}}^1(C_U, U) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K(C_U, U) & \longrightarrow & K(G_0) & \longrightarrow & K(U) & \longrightarrow & K^1(C_U, U) \end{array}$$

It is clear that $I_{\mathcal{G}}K_{\mathcal{G}}(U) \subset I_U$. Now let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $K(C_U, U)^m = 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $K_{\mathcal{G}}(C_U, U)^n = 0$. Then $I_U^{nm} \subset I_{\mathcal{G}}K_{\mathcal{G}}(U)$ and so the topologies coincide.

This proves ϕ is an isomorphism when restricted to any particular element F^{ij} and therefore, it is an isomorphism of spectral sequences. In particular, we have $\{K_{\mathcal{G}}^{p+q}(X)/I_{\mathcal{G}}^n K_{\mathcal{G}}^{p+q}(X)\} \cong \{K_{\mathcal{G}}^{p+q}(X \times_{\pi} E^n \mathcal{G})\}$

Definition 5.6. We say a groupoid $\mathcal{G}$ is finite if G_0 is a finite $\mathcal{G}$ -CW-complex.

Note that this implies that $K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(G_0)$ is Noetherian.

Theorem 5.7. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a Bredon-compatible Lie finite groupoid and X a finite $\mathcal{G}$ -CW-complex. Then we have an isomorphism of pro-rings

$$\{K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(X)/I_{\mathcal{G}}^n K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(X)\} \longrightarrow \{K^*(X \times_{\pi} E^n \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{G})\}$$

Corollary 5.8. Under the same circumstances, the homomorphism $K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(X) \rightarrow K^*(X_{\mathcal{G}})$ induces an isomorphism of the $I_{\mathcal{G}}$ -adic completion of $K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(X)$ with $K^*(X_{\mathcal{G}})$.

6. APPLICATIONS

Throughout this whole section S will be a Lie group, but not necessarily compact. To study proper actions of S , we can consider the groupoid $\mathcal{G} = S \ltimes \underline{E}S$, where $\underline{E}S$ is the universal space for proper actions of S as defined in [15]. This space is a proper S -CW-complex such that $\underline{E}S^G$ is contractible for all compact Lie subgroups G of S . The existence of $\underline{E}S$ is shown in [15]. It is also shown there that every proper S -CW-complex has an S -map to $\underline{E}S$ and this map is unique up to S -homotopy. Some immediate consequences follow:

- Proper S -CW-complexes are $\mathcal{G}$ -CW-complexes.
- Extendable $\mathcal{G}$ -bundles on a proper S -CW-complex X are extendable S -bundles for any S -map $X \rightarrow \underline{E}S$, since all of them are S -homotopic.
- $\mathcal{G}$ is finite if and only if $\underline{E}S$ is a finite proper S -CW-complex.

Example 6.1. Actions of finite groups and compact Lie groups and the corresponding K-theory have been studied extensively in the past. It is a well-known fact that for these

actions, $\mathcal{G}$ is Bredon-compatible [25] and so they satisfy a completion theorem, proven in [4]. This is also the case for proper actions of discrete groups, as studied in [16].

Example 6.2. In general, vector bundles may not be enough to construct an interesting equivariant cohomology theory for proper actions of second countable locally compact groups [19], but they suffice for two important families, almost compact groups and matrix groups [20].

Almost compact groups, i.e., second countable locally compact groups whose group of connected components are compact, always have a maximal compact subgroup. Any space with a proper action of one of these groups is the induction of a space with an action of that compact subgroup and so the study of proper actions of almost compact groups are reduced to studying compact Lie group actions. This is carried on in [20], and so these action groupoids are Bredon-compatible and we have a completion theorem. In fact, this is also proved in [20], by showing that the completion maps are compatible with the reduction map to the maximal compact subgroup. With different techniques it is proven that proper actions of matrix groups, that is, closed subgroups of $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$, are Bredon-compatible and so a completion theorem follows. A particular instance of this case are proper actions of abelian Lie groups.

Example 6.3. Proper actions of totally disconnected groups that are projective limits of discrete groups are shown to be Bredon-compatible in [22], and therefore a completion theorem follows. One example is given by the group $SL_2(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p)$.

Example 6.4. In general $\mathcal{G}$ need not be a Bredon-compatible groupoid. When $\mathcal{G}$ is a Bredon-compatible groupoid we must have $Vect_{\mathcal{G}}(S/G) = Vect_G(pt)$ for a compact subgroup G of S . Let S be a Kac-Moody group and T its maximal torus. Note that S is not a Lie group, but all constructions generalize.

There is an S -map $S/T \rightarrow \underline{E}S$ which is unique, up to homotopy. Given an S -vector bundle V on $\underline{E}S$, the pullback to S/T is given by a finite-dimensional representation of T invariant under the Weyl group. This representation gives rise to a finite-dimensional representation of S . But all finite-dimensional representations of Kac-Moody groups are trivial, therefore V must be trivial. In particular, extendable S -vector bundles on S/T only come from trivial representations of T .

In order to deal with these groups, it is more convenient to use dominant K-theory, which was developed in [13]. Kac-Moody groups possess an important class of representations called dominant representations. A dominant representation of a Kac-Moody group in a Hilbert space is one that decomposes into a sum of highest weight representations. Equivariant

K-theory for proper actions of Kac-Moody groups is defined as the representable equivariant cohomology theory modeled on the space of Fredholm operators on a Hilbert space which is a maximal dominant representation of the group.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Adem, J. Leida and Y. Ruan, *Orbifolds and stringy topology*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, Volume 171, 2007.
- [2] M. Atiyah, *Characters and cohomology of finite groups*, Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math. 1961, no. 9, 23-64.
- [3] M. Atiyah and F. Hirzebruch, *Vector bundles and homogeneous spaces*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. Vol. 3, Amer. Math. Soc. 1961, 7-38.
- [4] M. Atiyah and G. Segal, *Equivariant K-theory and completion*, J. Diff. Geom. 3, 1969, 1-18.
- [5] M. Atiyah and G. Segal, *Twisted K-theory*, Ukr. Mat. Visn. 1, 2004, no. 3, 287-330.
- [6] J.L. Brylinski, B. Zhang, *Equivariant K-theory of simply-connected Lie groups*, K-Theory 20, 2000, no. 1, 23-36.
- [7] C. Dwyer, *Twisted equivariant K-theory for proper actions of discrete groups*, Ph.D. Thesis, 2005.
- [8] D. Freed, M. Hopkins, C. Teleman, *Twisted K-theory and Loop Group Representations*, arXiv:math/0312155, 2003.
- [9] D. Freed, M. Hopkins, C. Teleman, *Loop groups and twisted K-theory II*, arXiv:math/0511232, 2005.
- [10] D. Freed, M. Hopkins, C. Teleman, *Twisted equivariant K-theory with complex coefficients*, J. Topol. 1, 2008, no. 1, 16-44.
- [11] D. Gepner and A. Henriques, *Homotopy theory of orbispaces*, arXiv:math.AT/0701916, 2007.
- [12] S. Jackowski, *Families of subgroups and completions*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 37, 1985, 167-179.
- [13] N. Kitchloo, *Dominant K-theory and integrable highest weight representations of Kac-Moody groups*, arXiv:math/0710.0167v1, 2007
- [14] N. Kitchloo and J. Morava, *Thom Spectra for Loopgroup representations*, arXiv:math/0404541, 2004.
- [15] W. Lueck, *Survey on classifying spaces for families of subgroups*, Infinite groups: geometric, combinatorial and dynamical aspects, 269-322, Progr. Math., 248, Birkhauser, Basel, 2005.
- [16] W. Lueck and B. Oliver, *The completion theorem in K-theory for proper actions of a discrete group*, Topology 40, 2001, 585-616.
- [17] I. Moerdijk and J. Mrcun, *Introduction to foliations and Lie groupoids*, Cambridge University Press, 2003.
- [18] Alan L.T. Paterson, *Groupoids, inverse semigroups, and their operator algebras*, Progress in mathematics, vol. 170, Birkhauser, Boston, 1998.
- [19] N.C. Phillips, *Equivariant K-theory for proper actions*, Pitman research notes in mathematics, vol. 178, 1989.
- [20] N.C. Phillips, *Equivariant K-theory for proper actions II: Some cases in which finite dimensional bundles suffice*, Index theory of elliptic operators, foliations and operator algebras, Contem. Math. 70, 1988, 205-227.
- [21] A. Pressley and G. Segal, *Loop groups*, Oxford Science Publications, 1988.

- [22] J. Sauer, *K-theory for proper smooth actions of totally disconnected groups*, High-dimensional manifold topology, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 2003, 427-448.
- [23] G. Segal, *Classifying spaces and spectral sequences*, Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math. 1968, no. 34, 105-112.
- [24] G. Segal, *The representation ring of a compact Lie group*, Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math. 1968, no. 34, 113-128.
- [25] G. Segal, *Equivariant K-theory*, Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math. 1968, no. 34, 129-151.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA, VANCOUVER, B.C., CANADA
E-mail address: `cantarer@math.ubc.ca`